arXiv:math/9808032v1 [math.RA] 6 Aug 1998

K_0 OF INVARIANT RINGS AND NONABELIAN H^1

MARTIN LORENZ

Department of Mathematics
Temple University
Philadelphia, PA 19122-6094
`lorenz@math.temple.edu`

Abstract. We give a description of the kernel of the induction map $K_0(R) \to K_0(S)$, where S is a commutative ring and $R = S^G$ is the ring of invariants of the action of a finite group G on S. The description is in terms of $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$.

Introduction

This article is concerned with the relationship between $K_0(S)$ and $K_0(R)$, where S is a commutative ring and $R = S^G$ denotes the subring of invariants under the action of a finite group G on S.

Specifically, working under the assumption that the trace $\mathrm{tr} : S \to R$, $s \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} s^g$, is surjective, we shall study the kernel of the induction map

$$\mathrm{Ind}_R^S = K_0(f) : K_0(R) \to K_0(S)$$

that is associated with the inclusion $f : R \hookrightarrow S$. We will describe an embedding of $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Ind}_R^S)$ into the cohomology set $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$. Moreover, we will endow $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$ with a natural commutative monoid structure, essentially coming from the "block diagonal" maps $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_m \to \mathrm{GL}_{n+m} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}$, such that our embedding identifies $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Ind}_R^S)$ with the group of units $\mathrm{U}(H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)))$. To further describe this unit group, we define S_H, for any subgroup H of G, to be the factor of S modulo the intersection of all maximal ideals of S whose inertia group contains H. Letting $\rho_H : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)) \to H^1(H, \mathrm{GL}(S_H))$ denote the map that is given by restriction from G to H and the canonical map $S \twoheadrightarrow S_H$, our main result reads as follows.

Theorem. $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Ind}_R^S) \cong \mathrm{U}(H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))) = \bigcap_H \mathrm{Ker}\,\rho_H$, *where H ranges over all cyclic (or, equivalently, all) subgroups of G.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A50, 13D15, 16E20, 16W20, 18G50, 19A49.

Key words and phrases. ring of invariants, group action, nonabelian 1-cohomology, Grothendieck group, induction map, Galois action, Hilbert's Theorem 90.

Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-9618521.

The theorem follows via direct limits from a corresponding result, with $\mathrm{GL}_n(\,.\,)$ in place of $\mathrm{GL}(\,.\,)$, for the kernel of the maps $\mathbf{P}_n(f) : \mathbf{P}_n(R) \to \mathbf{P}_n(S)$, where $\mathbf{P}_n(\,.\,)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of f.g. projective modules of constant rank n.

As applications, we present a version of Hilbert's Theorem 90 for Galois actions on commutative rings and quickly derive the (known) structure of the Picard groups of linear and multiplicative invariants ([K], [L]). Some open problems are also discussed.

Notations and Conventions. Throughout this note,

S	will be a ring, assumed commutative from §2.3 onwards,
G	will be a finite group acting by automorphisms on S; the action will be written $s \mapsto s^g$, and
$R = S^G$	will denote the ring of G-invariants in S.

We make the standing hypothesis that the trace map $\mathrm{tr} : S \to R,\ s \mapsto \sum_{g\in G} s^g$ is surjective or, equivalently:

(*) *There exists an element* $x \in S$ *with* $\mathrm{tr}(x) = 1$.

1. NONABELIAN H^1

1.1. **Definition.** We recall the definition of nonabelian H^1 following [Se] §I.5 (or [BS], [Se2] p. 123ff), except that our group actions are on the right.

Let X be a G-group, with G-action written as $x \mapsto x^g$. A *(1-)cocycle* is a map $d : G \to X$ satisfying

$$d(gg') = d(g)^{g'} d(g') \qquad (g, g' \in G)\ .$$

The set of 1-cocycles of G in X will be denoted by $Z^1(G,X)$. Two cocycles $d, e \in Z^1(G,X)$ are called *cohomologous* if there exists an element $x \in X$ satisfying $d(g) = x^g e(g) x^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. This defines an equivalence relation on $Z^1(G,X)$. The set of equivalence classes is

$$H^1(G,X)\ ,$$

a pointed set with distinguished element the class of the *unit cocycle* $\mathbf{1}(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Remark. $H^1(G,X)$ parametrizes the conjugacy classes of complements of X in the split extension $X \rtimes G$, exactly as in the familiar special case where X is abelian (cf. [Ro], 11.1.2, 11.1.3).

1.2. **Examples.** (1) Suppose G acts trivially on X. Then $Z^1(G,X) = \mathrm{Hom}(G,X)$ and

$$H^1(G,X) = \mathrm{Hom}(G,X)/X\ ,$$

with X acting by conjugation on $\mathrm{Hom}(G,X)$. The distinguished class consists of $\mathbf{1}$ alone.

(2) If $G = \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic of order m, then each cocycle $d \in Z^1(G,X)$ is determined by the element $x = d(g) \in X$, and the eligible elements of X are precisely those satisfying

the condition $x^{g^{m-1}}x^{g^{m-2}}\dots x^g x = 1$. Moreover, if $d, e \in Z^1(G,X)$ correspond in this manner to $x, y \in X$, respectively, then d and e are cohomologous precisely if there exists $z \in X$ with $x = z^g y z^{-1}$. Thus, writing $\sim$ for the equivalence relation on X determined by this condition, we have

$$H^1(G,X) \cong \{x \in X : x^{g^{m-1}}x^{g^{m-2}}\dots x^g x = 1\}/\sim \ .$$

(3) If the order of X is finite and coprime to $|G|$ then $H^1(G,X)$ is trivial, by the uniqueness part of the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem (cf. [Ro], 9.1.2).

(4) If X is a linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field whose characteristic does not divide $|G|$ and G acts algebraically on X then $H^1(G,X)$ is finite. This is essentially due to A. Weil who explicitly dealt with the case of a trivial G-action on X ([We], cf. also [Sl]). The general case is an easy consequence.

1.3. **Functoriality and direct limits.** Suppose that we are given a homomorphism of groups $\alpha : G \to G'$ and a G'-group X'. Then X' can be viewed as a G-group via α. Any map of G-groups (i.e., any group homomorphism compatible with the G-actions) $f : X' \to X$ gives rise to a map $Z^1(G',X') \to Z^1(G,X)$, $d \mapsto f \circ d \circ \alpha$, and this map passes down to a map of pointed sets

$$(\alpha, f)^1_* : H^1(G',X') \to H^1(G,X) \ .$$

In particular, we have the *restriction maps* $H^1(G,X) \to H^1(H,X)$ for subgroups H of G and the *inflation maps* $H^1(G/N, X^N) \to H^1(G,X)$ for normal subgroups N. Here, X^N denotes the N-invariants in X. We will write f^1_* for $(\mathrm{Id}_G, f)^1_*$.

The following easy lemma is surely well-known, but I am not aware of a reference. (For commutative cohomology, see [Br], Prop. (4.6) on p. 195.)

Lemma. *Let (X_n, f_{mn}) be a direct system of G-groups and let $X = \varinjlim X_n$ be the direct limit with its induced G-action* (cf. [Bou3], p. A I.117). *If all $f_{mn} : X_n \to X_m$ $(m \geq n)$ are injective then $H^1(G,X) \cong \varinjlim H^1(G,X_n)$ (as pointed sets).*

Proof. Put $\varphi_{mn} = (f_{mn})^1_* : H^1(G,X_n) \to H^1(G,X_m)$ and $\varphi_n = (f_n)^1_*$, where $f_n : X_n \to X$ is the canonical map. Then the relations $f_m \circ f_{mn} = f_n$ entail $\varphi_m \circ \varphi_{mn} = \varphi_n$, and so there is a unique map $\varphi : \varinjlim H^1(G,X_n) \to H^1(G,X)$ with $\varphi \circ \psi_n = \varphi_n$, where $\psi_n : H^1(G,X_n) \to \varinjlim H^1(G,X_n)$ is the canonical map. We show that φ is bijective; the fact that φ respects distinguished elements is clear.

For surjectivity, let $d \in Z^1(G,X)$ be given. Since G is finite, there is an n with $d(G) \subseteq f_n(X_n)$, and so the class of d in $H^1(G,X)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Im}\varphi_n \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\varphi$.

As to injectivity, we must show that if $a, b \in H^1(G,X_n)$ satisfy $\varphi_n(a) = \varphi_n(b)$ then there exists $m \geq n$ with $\varphi_{mn}(a) = \varphi_{mn}(b)$ (cf. [Bou2], Prop. 6 on p. E III.62). Say a and b are the classes of $d, e \in Z^1(G,X_n)$, respectively. Then $f_n \circ d$ and $f_n \circ e$ are cohomologous in $Z^1(G,X)$, i.e., there exists $x \in X$ with $(f_n \circ d)(g) = x^g (f_n \circ e)(g) x^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. Now $x \in f_m(X_m)$ for some $m \geq n$, say $x = f_m(y)$. Then

$$(f_m \circ f_{mn} \circ d)(g) = f_m(y^g)(f_m \circ f_{mn} \circ e)(g) f_m(y^{-1}) \ .$$

Since all f_{mn} are injective, so are the maps f_n ([Bou2], Remarque 1 on p. E III.63). Hence, $(f_{mn} \circ d)(g) = y^g (f_{mn} \circ e)(g) y^{-1}$ holds for all $g \in G$ which shows that $f_{mn} \circ d$ and $f_{mn} \circ e$ are cohomologous in $Z^1(G, X_m)$, as required. $\square$

1.4. **The monoid structure of $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$.** Lemma 1.3 implies in particular that in $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)) \cong \varinjlim H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$, where G acts on $\mathrm{GL}(S)$ and on $\mathrm{GL}_n(S)$ via its action on S. Our goal here is to endow $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$ with the structure of a commutative monoid.

More generally, let X be any G-stable subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(S)$ containing the matrices

$$s_{m,n} \underset{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{(m+1)n} \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n\times m} & -1_{n\times n} & & \\ 1_{m\times m} & 0_{m\times n} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} .$$

Note that $\det s_{m,n} = 1$. (In fact, it is not hard to show that the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(S)$ that is generated by the matrices $s_{m,n}$ consists of all monomial matrices with entries ± 1 and with determinant 1.) Hence $s_{m,n} \in \mathrm{E}(S)$, the group generated by the elementary matrices ([Ba], Prop. 1.6 on p. 226). Furthermore,

$$s_{m,n}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{n\times n} & & & \\ & b_{m\times m} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} s_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{m\times m} & & & \\ & a_{n\times n} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} .$$

Thus, if $x = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x_{n\times n} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \ddots \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in X_n = X \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(S)$ then, for any m,

$$x[m] \underset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1_{m\times m} & & & \\ & x_{n\times n} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = s_{m,n}^{-1} x s_{m,n} \in X_{m+n} .$$

For $a, b \in H^1(G, X)$, define $a + b \in H^1(G, X)$ as follows. Choose cocycles $d, e \in Z^1(G, X)$ representing a and b, respectively. Since G is finite, we have $d \in Z^1(G, X_m)$ and $e \in Z^1(G, X_n)$ for suitable m and n. For $g \in G$, put

$$(d \oplus_m e)(g) \underset{\text{def}}{=} d(g) \cdot e(g)[m] = \begin{pmatrix} d(g)_{m\times m} & & & \\ & e(g)_{n\times n} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in X .$$

It is trivial to verify that $d \oplus_m e$ is a cocycle, and we define $a + b$ to be its class in $H^1(G, X)$. To show that this is well-defined, we first note that the class of $d \oplus_m e$ is independent of m, as long as $d(G) \subseteq X_m$. Indeed, if $t \geq 0$ then

$$(d \oplus_{m+t} e)(g) = (s_{t,n}[m])^{-1}\,(d \oplus_m e)(g) s_{t,n}[m]\ ,$$

and $s_{t,n}[m] = s_{t,n}[m]^g \in X$. Thus, $d \oplus_{m+t} e$ and $d \oplus_m e$ are cohomologous. Now suppose that a and b are also represented by the cocycles $d' \in Z^1(G,X)$ and $e' \in Z^1(G,X)$, respectively. So there are matrices $x, y \in X$ with

$$d'(g) = x^g d(g) x^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad e'(g) = y^g e(g) y^{-1}\ .$$

Fix r so that $x, y, d(G), e(G)$ are all contained in X_r, and hence so are $d'(G)$ and $e'(G)$. By the foregoing, it suffices to show that $d \oplus_r e$ and $d' \oplus_r e'$ are cohomologous. But, putting $z = x \cdot y[r] \in X$, we have $(d' \oplus_r e')(g) = z^g (d \oplus_r e)(g) z^{-1}$. Thus, $a + b \in H^1(G,X)$ is indeed well-defined. Commutativity and associativity of $+$ follow along similar lines, thereby making $H^1(G,X)$ a commutative monoid with neutral element the class of the unit cocycle. — For a different description of the monoid structure of $H^1(G,X)$ for $X = \mathrm{GL}(S)$, see Lemma 2.7.

1.5. **Monoid maps.** Let S' be another ring that is acted on by a group G' and suppose that we are given a group homomorphism $\alpha : G \to G'$ and a G-equivariant ring map $\phi : S' \to S$, where G acts on S' via α. Then we obtain a map of G-groups $\mathrm{GL}(\phi) : \mathrm{GL}(S') \to \mathrm{GL}(S)$. Thus, if $X' \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(S')$ is a G'-stable subgroup containing the matrices $s_{m,n} \in \mathrm{GL}(S')$ of §1.4 and if $X \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(S)$ is G-stable containing the image of X' then we have a map of G-groups $f : X' \to X$. The map induced on cocycles $Z^1(G',X') \to Z^1(G,X)$ (cf. §1.3) is easily seen to respect the operations $\oplus_m$ of §1.4, and hence the map $(\alpha, f)^1_* : H^1(G',X') \to H^1(G,X)$ of §1.3 is actually a monoid map. This applies in particular to restriction and induction maps (with $\phi = \mathrm{Id}_S$). Moreover, we have the following

Lemma. *Let $X \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(S)$ be a G-stable subgroup with $X \supseteq \mathrm{E}(S)$ and let $f : X \to X^{\mathrm{ab}} = X/[X,X]$ denote the canonical map. Then $f^1_* : H^1(G,X) \to H^1(G,X^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is a monoid map, where $H^1(G,X^{\mathrm{ab}})$ has its usual group structure.*

Proof. Consider $d, e \in Z^1(G,X)$, say $d, e \in Z^1(G,X_m)$. Then, by [Ba], Prop. (1.7) on p. 226,

$$(d \oplus_m e)(g) \equiv d(g) \cdot e(g) = \begin{pmatrix} d(g)_{m\times m} e(g)_{m \times m} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \mod \mathrm{E}(S)\ .$$

Inasmuch as $X^{\mathrm{ab}} = X/\mathrm{E}(S)$ (cf. [Ba], Thm. (2.1) on p. 228), our assertion follows. □

1.6. **Units.** The kernel of $\mathrm{Ind}_R^S : K_0(R) \to K_0(S)$ will turn out to be isomorphic to the group of units $\mathrm{U}(H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S)))$ of the monoid $H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S))$ (see §2.8). Here, we make some preliminary observations on the unit group

$$\mathrm{U}(H^1(G,X))\ ,$$

where X is any G-invariant subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(S)$ containing the matrices $s_{m,n}$, as in §1.4.

Lemma. *Let* $N = \mathrm{Ker}_G(X)$ *denote the kernel of the action of* G *on* X*. Then* $\mathrm{U}(H^1(G,X)) \cong \mathrm{U}(H^1(G/N,X))$ *via inflation. In particular, if* G *acts trivially on* X*, then* $\mathrm{U}(H^1(G,X))$ *contains only the unit class.*

Proof. Use the inflation-restriction sequence $H^1(G/N,X) \to H^1(G,X) \to H^1(N,X)$ ([Se], §I.5.8). This sequence is exact, the first map (inflation) is injective, and both maps are monoid maps. Thus it induces an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to \mathrm{U}(H^1(G/N,X)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(H^1(G,X)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(H^1(N,X)) \ .$$

Part (ii) therefore reduces to the claim that $\mathrm{U}(H^1(N,X))$ is trivial. To verify this, recall that $H^1(N,X) = \mathrm{Hom}(N,X)/X$, with X acting by conjugation on $\mathrm{Hom}(N,X)$, and the unit class consists of the unit map $\mathbf{1}$ alone (cf. §1.2). Thus, letting $\langle\,.\,\rangle$ denote X-conjugacy classes, the equation $\langle d\rangle + \langle e\rangle = \langle \mathbf{1}\rangle$ for $d,e \in \mathrm{Hom}(N,X)$ is equivalent with $(d \oplus_m e)(g) = 1$ for all $g \in N$, where m is chosen as above. But the latter condition says that $d = e = \mathbf{1}$. □

2. The Kernel of Induction

2.1. **The skew group ring.** We will let

$$T = S{*}G$$

denote the *skew group ring* that is associated with the given G-action on S. Thus T is an associative ring containing S as a subring and G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(T)$, the group of units of T. The elements of G form a free basis of T as right S-module. Multiplication in T is based on the rule $ga \cdot hb = gha^hb$ for $a,b \in S, g,h \in G$. The ring S is an R-T-bimodule with action

$$r \cdot a \cdot gb = ra^gb \qquad (r \in R,\ a,b \in S,\ g \in G) \ .$$

Hypothesis (*) entails that $txt = t$, where we have put $t = \sum_{g\in G} g \in T$. So $e = tx$ is an idempotent element of T with $eT = tT = tS \cong S_T$. In particular, S_T is projective and the ideal $I = TeT$ of T satisfies $I^2 = I$ and $S_T \cdot I = S_T$.

2.2. **Some module categories.** Let $\mathsf{proj}\,R$ denote the category of finitely generated projective (right) R-modules, and similarly for T, and let $\mathsf{add}\,S_T$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathsf{proj}\,T$ whose objects are the direct summands of the modules S_T^n for $n \geq 0$. The following lemma is well-known but we include the proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma. (i) *The functors* $E : \mathsf{proj}\,R \to \mathsf{add}\,S_T$*,* $Q \mapsto Q \otimes_R S_T$ *and* $F : \mathsf{add}\,S_T \to \mathsf{proj}\,R$*,* $P \mapsto P^G$ *yield an equivalence of categories* $\mathsf{proj}\,R \approx \mathsf{add}\,S_T$*.*

(ii) *A module* P *in* $\mathsf{proj}\,T$ *belongs to* $\mathsf{add}\,S_T$ *precisely if* $PI = P$*.*

Proof. (i) For Q in $\operatorname{\mathsf{proj}} R$, let $\varphi_Q : Q \to (F \circ E)(Q) = (Q \otimes_R S_T)^G$ denote the R-linear map given by $\varphi_Q(q) = q \otimes 1$. Then $\varphi_R : R \to (R \otimes_R S_T)^G \cong (S_T)^G = R$ is an isomorphism, and hence so is φ_{R^n} for every n and φ_Q for every Q. Thus φ is a natural equivalence of functors $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{proj}\, R} \cong F \circ E$. Similarly, defining $\psi_P : (E \circ F)(P) = P^G \otimes_R S_T \to P$ for P in $\operatorname{\mathsf{add}} S_T$ by $\psi_P(p \otimes s) = ps$, we obtain a natural equivalence of functors $E \circ F \cong \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{add}\, S_T}$.

(ii) All modules P in $\operatorname{\mathsf{add}} S_T$ satisfy $PI = P$, because $S \cdot I = S$. Conversely, if P in $\operatorname{\mathsf{proj}} T$ satisfies $P = PI = PeT$ then, for some n, $(eT)^n \cong S_T^n$ maps onto P, and so P is a direct summand of S_T^n. □

2.3. **Another description of $\operatorname{\mathsf{add}} S_T$.** *From now on, S is assumed commutative.* We let $\operatorname{Max} S$ denote the set of maximal ideals of S. For each $\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S$, we put $G^Z(\mathfrak{M}) = \{g \in G : \mathfrak{M}^g = \mathfrak{M}\}$, the *decomposition group* of $\mathfrak{M}$, and

$$T(\mathfrak{M}) = (S/\mathfrak{M}) * G^Z(\mathfrak{M}) \ ,$$

the skew group ring that is associated with the action of $G^Z(\mathfrak{M})$ on $S/\mathfrak{M}$. As in §2.1, $S/\mathfrak{M}$ is a right module over $T(\mathfrak{M})$; this module structure can be viewed as coming from S_T by restriction to $S * G^Z(\mathfrak{M})$ and reduction mod $\mathfrak{M}$. The following description of $\mathbf{add}(S_T)$ is adapted from [Kr], Proposition 3.

Lemma. *A module P in $\operatorname{\mathsf{proj}} T$ belongs to $\operatorname{\mathsf{add}} S_T$ if and only if, for all $\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S$, there is an isomorphism of $T(\mathfrak{M})$-modules $P/P\mathfrak{M} \cong (S/\mathfrak{M})^r$ ($r = \operatorname{rank} P_{\mathfrak{M}}$).*

Proof. The condition is surely necessary. For, if P is a direct summand of S_T^n, then $P/P\mathfrak{M}$ is a direct summand of the homogeneous $T(\mathfrak{M})$-module $(S/\mathfrak{M})^n$.

For the converse, consider some $\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S$ and put $\mathfrak{M}^0 = \bigcap_{g \in G} \mathfrak{M}^g$, a G-stable ideal of S. Then

$$S/\mathfrak{M}^0 \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G^Z(\mathfrak{M}) \backslash G} S/\mathfrak{M}^g \cong (S/\mathfrak{M}) \otimes_{T(\mathfrak{M})} T$$

as T-modules. Similarly, $P/P\mathfrak{M}^0 \cong P \otimes_S S/\mathfrak{M}^0 \cong (P/P\mathfrak{M}) \otimes_{T(\mathfrak{M})} T$ as T-modules, with G acting "diagonally" on $P \otimes_S S/\mathfrak{M}^0$: $(p \otimes \bar{s})g = pg \otimes \bar{s}^g$. By hypothesis, $P/P\mathfrak{M}$ is isomorphic to $(S/\mathfrak{M})^r$ as $T(\mathfrak{M})$-modules, and so

$$P/P\mathfrak{M}^0 \cong (S/\mathfrak{M}^0)^r$$

as T-modules. Since $S = S \cdot I$ (cf. §2.1), this isomorphism implies $P = PI + P\mathfrak{M}^0$, and since $\mathfrak{M}$ was arbitrary, we further conclude that $P = PI$ (cf. [Bou], Prop. 11 on p. 113). In view of Lemma 2.2(ii), this shows that P belongs to $\operatorname{\mathsf{add}} S_T$. □

2.4. **The induction triangle.** For each $n \geq 0$, we let $\mathbf{P}_n(R)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of f.g. projective R-modules of constant rank n, and similarly for $\mathbf{P}_n(S)$. These are pointed sets with distinguished elements $\langle R^n \rangle$ and $\langle S^n \rangle$, respectively, where $\langle\, . \,\rangle$ denotes isomorphism classes. Furthermore, $\mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T)$ will denote the set of isomorphism classes of f.g. projective right T-modules having constant rank n

as S-modules, with distinguished element $\langle S_T^n\rangle$. We have a commutative diagram of pointed sets (cf. [Ba], Prop. (7.3) on p. 130)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{P}_n(R) \arrow[rr, "\mathbf{P}_n(f)"] \arrow[dr, hook, "\Phi_n=(\,.\,)\otimes_R S_T"'] & & \mathbf{P}_n(S) \\
& \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T) \arrow[ur, "\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Lemma 2.2(i), Φ_n is injective. The kernels of the other two maps will be described in §§2.5 and 2.6 below. Recall that the *kernel* of a map of pointed sets is defined to be the preimage of the distinguished element of the target set.

2.5. **The kernel of** $\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}$**.** We now consider the restriction map $\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n} : \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T) \to \mathbf{P}_n(S)$, as in §2.4.

Lemma. $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}) \cong H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$ *as pointed sets.*

Proof. Each cocycle $d \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$ gives rise to a T-module structure $(S^n)_d$ on S^n via

$$x \cdot gs = x^g d(g)s \qquad (x \in S^n, g \in G, s \in S) .$$

This action extends the regular S-module structure on S^n. Conversely, if $\cdot$ is any right T-module operation on S^n extending the regular S-module structure then write, for $g \in G$,

$$e_i \cdot g = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j d_{i,j}(g) ,$$

where $e_i \in S^n$ is the basis element with 1 in the i-th position and 0s elsewhere and $d_{i,j}(g) \in S$. A routine verification shows that $d = (d_{i,j})$ is a cocycle of G in $\mathrm{GL}_n(S)$ and the given T-module structure on S^n is identical with $(S^n)_d$.

Since $(S^n)_d$ for the unit cocycle $d = \mathbf{1}$ is just S_T^n, we obtain a surjective map of pointed sets

$$Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}), \quad d \mapsto \langle (S^n)_d\rangle .$$

Finally, for $d, e \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$, we have $(S^n)_d \cong (S^n)_e$ as T-modules precisely if there is an S-module isomorphism $(S^n)_d \xrightarrow{\cong} (S^n)_e$ that commutes with the G-actions, that is, for some matrix $a \in \mathrm{GL}_n(S)$, $(x \cdot g)a = (xa) \cdot g$ holds for all $x \in S^n, g \in G$. The latter condition is equivalent with $x^g d(g) = x^g a^g e(g) a^{-1}$ which in turn just says that d and e are cohomologous. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark. With $T(\mathfrak{M})$ as in §2.3, we have a map of pointed sets $\mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T) \to \mathbf{P}_{S/\mathfrak{M},n}(T(\mathfrak{M}))$, $P \mapsto P/P\mathfrak{M}$, which restricts to a map $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}) \to \mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathrm{Res}^{T(\mathfrak{M})}_{S/\mathfrak{M},n}\right)$. In terms of the identification provided by the above Lemma, the latter becomes the map

$$\rho'_{\mathfrak{M},n} : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S)) \to H^1(G^Z(\mathfrak{M}), \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M}))$$

that is given by restriction from G to $G^Z(\mathfrak{M})$ and reduction modulo $\mathfrak{M}$.

2.6. **The kernel of $\mathbf{P}_n(f)$.** For each subgroup $H \leq G$, we put

$$J(H) = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S \\ H \subseteq G^T(\mathfrak{M})}} \mathfrak{M} \qquad \text{and} \qquad S_H = S/J(H) \ ,$$

where $G^T(\mathfrak{M}) = \{g \in G : s^g - s \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ is the *inertia group* of $\mathfrak{M}$. In addition to the maps $\rho'_{\mathfrak{M},n}$ introduced in Remark 2.5, we will consider the analogous restriction-reduction maps

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{M},n} : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S)) \to H^1(G^T(\mathfrak{M}), \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M}))$$

and

$$\rho_{H,n} : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S)) \to H^1(H, \mathrm{GL}_n(S_H)) \ .$$

Since the actions of $G^T(\mathfrak{M})$ on $\mathrm{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M})$ and of H on $\mathrm{GL}_n(S_H)$ are trivial, we have $H^1(G^T(\mathfrak{M}), \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M})) = \operatorname{Hom}(G^T(\mathfrak{M}), \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M}))/\operatorname{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M})$ and similarly for $H^1(H, \mathrm{GL}_n(S_H))$ (cf. §1.2). We let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the set of cyclic subgroups of G.

Proposition. *As pointed sets,*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f) \cong \bigcap_{\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S} \operatorname{Ker} \rho'_{\mathfrak{M},n} &= \bigcap_{\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{\mathfrak{M},n} \\ = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{C,n} &= \bigcap_{H \leq G} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{H,n} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of the induction triangle in §2.4, $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f) \cong \operatorname{Im} \Phi_n \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Res}^T_{S,n})$. Furthermore, by virtue of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, if $\langle P \rangle \in \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T)$ then $\langle P \rangle \in \operatorname{Im} \Phi_n$ iff $\langle P/P\mathfrak{M} \rangle$ is the distinguished element of $\mathbf{P}_{S/\mathfrak{M},n}(T(\mathfrak{M}))$ for all $\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S$. Therefore, by Remark 2.5,

$$\operatorname{Im} \Phi_n \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Res}^T_{S,n}) \cong \bigcap_{\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S} \operatorname{Ker} \rho'_{\mathfrak{M},n} \ ,$$

which establishes the $\cong$ in the proposition. Now $\rho_{\mathfrak{M},n} = \operatorname{Res}^{G^Z(\mathfrak{M})}_{G^T(\mathfrak{M})} \circ \rho'_{\mathfrak{M},n}$, where

$$\operatorname{Res}^{G^Z(\mathfrak{M})}_{G^T(\mathfrak{M})} : H^1(G^Z(\mathfrak{M}), \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M})) \to H^1(G^T(\mathfrak{M}), \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\mathfrak{M}))$$

is the restriction map. Since this map has trivial kernel, by the generalized "Theorem 90" ([Se], Lemme 1 on p. 129 and §5.8(a)), we conclude that $\operatorname{Ker} \rho'_{\mathfrak{M},n} = \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{\mathfrak{M},n}$ which proves the first equality.

Consider $a \in H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$, say a is the class of $d \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$. Then, in view of §1.2(1),

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{\mathfrak{M},n} &\iff \forall \mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S\ \forall g \in G^T(\mathfrak{M}): \quad d(g) \equiv 1_{n \times n} \mod \mathfrak{M} \\ &\iff \forall g \in G\ \forall \mathfrak{M} \text{ with } \mathfrak{M} \supseteq J(\langle g \rangle): \quad d(g) \equiv 1_{n \times n} \mod \mathfrak{M} \\ &\iff \forall g \in G: \quad d(g) \equiv 1_{n \times n} \mod J(\langle g \rangle) \\ &\iff a \in \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{C,n}\ , \end{aligned}$$

proving the second equality. Finally, the proof of $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{M}} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{\mathfrak{M},n} = \bigcap_H \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{H,n}$ is completely analogous, based on the observation that $H \subseteq G^T(\mathfrak{M})$ if and only if $\mathfrak{M} \supseteq J(H)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

2.7. **Stabilization.** For $m \geq n$, we now consider the *stabilization maps* $\mathbf{P}_n(R) \to \mathbf{P}_m(R)$, $\langle P \rangle \mapsto \langle P \oplus R^{m-n} \rangle$, the analogous map for S, and the map $\mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T) \to \mathbf{P}_{S,m}(T)$, $\langle Q \rangle \mapsto \langle Q \oplus S_T^{m-n} \rangle$. These are maps of pointed sets which are compatible with the maps Φ_n, $\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}$ and $\mathbf{P}_n(f)$ in the induction triangle (§2.4). Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(R) \arrow[rr, "\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(f)"] \arrow[dr, hook, "\varphi = \varinjlim \Phi_n"'] & & \varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(S) \\ & \varinjlim \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T) \arrow[ur, "r = \varinjlim \mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

with φ injective, by [Bou2], Prop. 7 on p. E III.64. Explicitly (cf. [Bou2], p. E III.61),

$$\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(R) = \biguplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}_n(R) \Big/ \sim\ ,$$

where $\biguplus$ denotes the disjoint union and $x \sim y$ for $x = \langle P \rangle \in \mathbf{P}_n(R)$, $y = \langle Q \rangle \in \mathbf{P}_m(R)$ iff $P \oplus R^{t-n} \cong Q \oplus R^{t-m}$ for some $t \geq \max(m, n)$. In other words, $\langle P \rangle \sim \langle Q \rangle$ iff P and Q are stably isomorphic. Now, $\biguplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}_n(R)$ is a commutative monoid under $\oplus$ and the equivalence relation $\sim$ respects this structure. Thus, $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(R)$ becomes a commutative monoid with identity element the stable isomorphism class of $\{0\}$, that is, the f.g. free modules. Actually, $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(R)$ is a group: If $\langle P \rangle \in \mathbf{P}_n(R)$ is given then $P \oplus Q \cong R^r$ for suitable Q and r, and hence $\langle P \rangle \oplus \langle Q \rangle = \langle R^r \rangle \sim \langle 0 \rangle$. In fact, letting $\widetilde{K_0}(R)$ denote the kernel of

$$\mathrm{rank} : K_0(R) \to H_0(R) = \{\text{continuous maps} \operatorname{Spec} R \to \mathbb{Z}\}\ ,$$

as usual (cf. [Ba], p. 459), the map sending $\langle P\rangle \in \mathbf{P}_n(R)$ to $[P]-[R^n] \in \widetilde{K_0}(R)$ passes down to a homomorphism of groups

$$\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(R) \to \widetilde{K_0}(R)$$

which is easily seen to be an isomorphism (cf. [W], Chap. II, Lemma 2.3.1).

The foregoing is valid for *any* commutative ring R, and so also applies to $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(S)$. Under the identification $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n \cong \widetilde{K_0}$, the top map $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(f)$ of the above triangle becomes

$$\widetilde{K_0}(f) = \operatorname{Ind}_R^S \Bigg|_{\widetilde{K_0}(R)} : \widetilde{K_0}(R) \to \widetilde{K_0}(S) \ .$$

Things are similar for $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T)$: Isomorphism classes $\langle X\rangle \in \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T)$ and $\langle Y\rangle \in \mathbf{P}_{S,m}(T)$ become identified precisely if $X \oplus S_T^{t-n} \cong Y \oplus S_T^{t-m}$ for some $t \geq \max(m,n)$. However, since the submonoid of $\left(\biguplus_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T), \oplus\right)$ that is generated by $\langle S_T\rangle$ need no longer be cofinal, $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T)$ is merely a commutative monoid. The maps φ and r of the above triangle are monoid maps and φ is mono, as was pointed out earlier.

Thus, summarizing, we have the following stabilized induction triangle of commutative monoids and groups

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{K_0}(R) \arrow[rr, "\widetilde{K_0}(f)"] \arrow[dr, hook, "\varphi=(\,.\,)\otimes_R S_T"'] & & \widetilde{K_0}(S) \\
& \varinjlim \mathbf{P}_{S,n}(T) \arrow[ur, "r"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma. $\operatorname{Ker} r \cong H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$ *as commutative monoids.*

Proof. Since direct limits commute with kernels ([Bou2], Cor. (ii) on p. E III.65),

$$\operatorname{Ker} r = \varinjlim \left(\operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{Res}^T_{S,n}\right) \ .$$

Next, we infer from Lemma 2.5 (and its proof) that the stabilization map $\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Res}^T_{S,n} \to \operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Res}^T_{S,n}$ $(m \geq n)$ translates into the map $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S)) \to H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_m(S))$ that is induced by $\mathrm{GL}_n(S) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(S)$, $a \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Thus, Lemma 1.3 implies that $\operatorname{Ker} r \cong H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$, at least as pointed sets. The fact that this $\cong$ does respect the additive structures is a consequence of the obvious isomorphism (using the notations of §§1.4,2.5)

$$\left(S^m\right)_d \oplus \left(S^n\right)_e \cong \left(S^{m+n}\right)_{d\oplus_m e}$$

for $d \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_m(S))$ and $e \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$. $\square$

2.8. **Proof of the main result.** For each subgroup $H \leq G$, let

$$\rho_H : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)) \to H^1(H, \mathrm{GL}(S_H)) = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathrm{GL}(S_H))/\,\mathrm{GL}(S_H)$$

be given by restriction from G to H and reduction modulo $J(H)$; so $\rho_H = \varinjlim \rho_{H,n}$ (cf. §2.6). Similarly, for $\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S$, put

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{M}} = \varinjlim \rho_{\mathfrak{M},n} : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)) \to H^1(G^T(\mathfrak{M}), \mathrm{GL}(S/\mathfrak{M}))\ .$$

By 1.5, these maps are monoid maps, and hence their kernels are submonoids of $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$. It is now a simple matter to prove the Theorem stated in the Introduction. Recall that $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the set of cyclic subgroups of G.

Theorem. $\operatorname{Ker} K_0(f) \cong \mathrm{U}(H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)))$, *an isomorphism of groups, and*

$$\mathrm{U}(H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))) = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_C = \bigcap_{H \leq G} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_H = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S} \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{\mathfrak{M}}\ .$$

If S is Noetherian of Krull dimension d and $n > d$ then $\operatorname{Ker} K_0(f) \cong \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f)$.

Proof. Recall that $K_0(\,.\,)$ decomposes naturally as $K_0(\,.\,) = H_0(\,.\,) \oplus \widetilde{K_0}(\,.\,)$, with $\widetilde{K_0}(\,.\,) \cong \varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(\,.\,)$. If the ring in question is Noetherian of Krull dimension d, then $\varinjlim \mathbf{P}_n(\,.\,) \cong \mathbf{P}_m(\,.\,)$ holds for any $m > d$ ([Ba2]).

Applying this to the inclusion $f : R \hookrightarrow S$, we obtain that $K_0(f) = H_0(f) \oplus \widetilde{K_0}(f)$. Here, $H_0(f)$ is injective (cf. [Ba], Lemma (3.1) on p. 459), and hence

$$\operatorname{Ker} K_0(f) = \operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{K_0}(f) \cong \varinjlim \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f)$$

(again using the fact that direct limits commute with kernels). Moreover, if S is Noetherian of Krull dimension d (and hence so is R, by virtue of hypothesis (*)), then $\operatorname{Ker} K_0(f) \cong \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f)$ for $n > d$ which establishes the last assertion of the theorem.

Writing the formula for $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f)$ in Proposition 2.6 as

$$\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f) \cong \operatorname{Ker} \rho_n\ ,$$

where $\rho_n = \{\rho_{C,n}\} : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S)) \to \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} H^1(C, \mathrm{GL}_n(S_C))$, the stabilization map $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_n(f) \to \operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{P}_m(f)$ $(m \geq n)$ becomes the map on H^1 that is induced by the maps $\mathrm{GL}_n \to \mathrm{GL}_m$, $a \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, for S and S_C. Thus, using Lemma 1.3 and the fact that direct limits commute with kernels and with finite direct products (cf. [Bou2], *loc. cit.* and Prop. 10/Cor. on p. E III.67/8), we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Ker} K_0(f) \cong \operatorname{Ker} \rho\ ,$$

where $\rho = \{\rho_C\} : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)) \to \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} H^1(C, \mathrm{GL}(S_C))$. The $\cong$ is additive, by Lemma 2.7. Since $\operatorname{Ker} K_0(f)$ is a group, its image in $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))$ must be contained in the unit group $\mathrm{U}(H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)))$. On the other hand, we infer from Lemma 1.6 that

$\mathrm{U}(H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S)))$ is contained in $\bigcap_{H\leq G}\operatorname{Ker}\rho_H$, which in turn is clearly contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\rho=\bigcap_{C\in\mathcal{C}}\operatorname{Ker}\rho_C$. Hence equality must hold throughout, that is,

$$\bigcap_{C\in\mathcal{C}}\operatorname{Ker}\rho_C=\bigcap_{H\leq G}\operatorname{Ker}\rho_H=\mathrm{U}(H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S)))\ .$$

Finally, the equality $\bigcap_{H\leq G}\operatorname{Ker}\rho_H=\bigcap_{\mathfrak{M}\in\operatorname{Max}S}\rho_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is proved exactly as in the proof of Proposition 2.6, thereby completing the proof of the theorem. □

3. Applications and Problems

3.1. **Galois actions.** The G-action on S is *Galois*, in the sense of Auslander and Goldman [AG], if and only if $G^T(\mathfrak{M})$ is trivial for all $\mathfrak{M}\in\operatorname{Max}S$ (cf. [CHR], Theorem 1.3 on p. 4). In this case, hypothesis (*) is satisfied ([CHR], Lemma 1.6 om p. 7). Thus, by Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.8,

$$\operatorname{Ker}\mathbf{P}_n(f)\cong H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}_n(S))\quad\text{and}\quad\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)\cong H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S))\ .$$

In particular, $H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S))$ is a group with the operation of 1.4. In fact:

Proposition. *If the action of G on S is Galois then* $\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)\cong H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S))$ *is annihilated by a power of $|G|$. For S Noetherian of Krull dimension d, $|G|^d$ will do.*

Proof. By [CHR], Lemma 4.1 on p. 13, S is f.g. projective of constant rank equal to $|G|$ as R-module. Therefore,

$$[S_R]-|G|[R]=[S_R]-|G|1_{K_0(R)}\in\widetilde{K_0}(R)$$

and, moreover, the restriction map $\operatorname{Res}^S_R:K_0(S)\to K_0(R)$ is defined. The composite $\operatorname{Res}^S_R\circ K_0(f):K_0(R)\to K_0(R)$ is clearly multiplication with $[S_R]$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)\subseteq\operatorname{ann}_{K_0(R)}([S_R])\ .$$

Recall that $\widetilde{K_0}(R)$ is a nil ideal of $K_0(R)$, and if S (or, equivalently, R) is Noetherian of Krull dimension d, then $\widetilde{K_0}(R)^{d+1}=\{0\}$ ([Ba], pp. 477 and 473). Furthermore, $\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)\subseteq\widetilde{K_0}(R)$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.8). Hence, $\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)\cdot([S_R]-|G|)^t=\{0\}$ for some t, with $t=d$ a possible choice for S Noetherian of Krull dimension d. Consequently, $\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)\cdot|G|^t=\{0\}$. □

The proposition can be viewed as an extension of Hilbert's “Theorem 90” (cf. [Se], Lemme 1 on p. 129) to commutative rings.

3.2. I don't know if Proposition 3.1 generalizes to arbitrary G-actions:

Question. Is $\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)\cong\mathrm{U}(H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S)))$ always annihilated by $|G|^d$ if S is Noetherian of Krull dimension d?

This is indeed so for $d=0$, in which case $K_0(R)=H_0(R)$ and $K_0(f)=H_0(f)$ is mono, and for $d=1$, where $K_0(R)=H_0(R)\oplus\operatorname{Pic}(R)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}K_0(f)=\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Pic}(f)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $H^1(G, \mathrm{U}(S))$ (cf. §3.3 below). By a routine direct limit argument, a positive answer to the above question would imply that the kernel of the induction map $K_0(f) : K_0(R) \to K_0(S)$ is always $|G|$-primary (i.e., every element is annihilated by a power of $|G|$), for any commutative ring S. Finally, I note that the dual statement for G_0 is known to hold ([BrL1] or [BrL2]): The cokernel of the restriction map $G_0(S) \to G_0(R)$ is annihilated by $|G|^{d+1}$. The proof given in [BrL1] results from an analysis of the so-called *coniveau filtration* of G_0. The key to the above problem might very well be the *Grothendieck γ-filtration* ([SGA6], [FL])

$$K_0(R) = F^0_\gamma K_0 \supseteq \widetilde{K_0}(R) = F^1_\gamma K_0 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq F^{d+1}_\gamma K_0 = 0 \ .$$

The first two slices are $F^0_\gamma / F^1_\gamma = H_0(R)$ and $F^1_\gamma / F^2_\gamma = \mathrm{Pic}(R)$. Not much appears to be known about the higher slices.

3.3. **Picard groups.** For any commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, the set $\mathbf{P}_1(\mathcal{R})$ of isomorphism classes of f.g. projective $\mathcal{R}$-modules of constant rank 1 forms a group under $\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}$, with identity element the distinguished element $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$. This group is the *Picard group* of $\mathcal{R}$, usually denoted $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{R})$ (cf. [Ba], p. 131ff).

Specializing Proposition 2.6 to the case $n = 1$ and letting $\mathrm{U}(\,.\,) = \mathrm{GL}_1(\,.\,)$ denote groups of units, we obtain the following result (cf. [Kr, DMV, L]):

Proposition. *There is an isomorphism of groups*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{Pic}(f) &\cong \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Ker} \left(H^1(G, \mathrm{U}(S)) \to \operatorname{Hom}(C, \mathrm{U}(S_C)) \right) \\ &= \bigcap_{H \leq G} \operatorname{Ker} \left(H^1(G, \mathrm{U}(S)) \to \operatorname{Hom}(H, \mathrm{U}(S_H)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and an exact sequence of commutative monoids

$$1 \to \operatorname{Ker} \sigma \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} K_0(f) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{Pic}(f) \to 1 \ ,$$

where $\sigma : H^1(G, \mathrm{SL}(S)) \to \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} H^1(C, \mathrm{SL}(S_C))$.

Proof. The fact that the isomorphism of Proposition 2.6 is an isomorphism of groups, not just of pointed sets, for $n = 1$ is a consequence of the identity of T-modules $S_d \otimes_S S_e \cong S_{de}$ for $d, e \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{U}(S))$. The exact sequence is a consequence of the exact sequence $1 \to \mathrm{SL}(\,.\,) \to \mathrm{GL}(\,.\,) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathrm{U}(\,.\,) \to 1$ which is split by the canonical embedding $\mathrm{U}(\,.\,) = \mathrm{GL}_1(\,.\,) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\,.\,)$. Indeed, this sequence leads to a commutative diagram of pointed sets ([Se], §§5.4, 5.5)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] \\
H^1(G,\mathrm{SL}(S)) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \prod_{C\in\mathcal{C}} H^1(C,\mathrm{SL}(S_C)) \arrow[d] \\
H^1(G,\mathrm{GL}(S)) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1"] & \prod_{C\in\mathcal{C}} H^1(C,\mathrm{GL}(S_C)) \arrow[d, "\prod \pi_{1,C}"'] \\
H^1(G,\mathrm{U}(S)) \arrow[r, "\rho_1"] \arrow[u, bend left, "\mu_1"] \arrow[d] & \prod_{C\in\mathcal{C}} H^1(C,\mathrm{U}(S_C)) \arrow[u, bend right, "\prod \mu_{1,C}"'] \arrow[d] \\
1 & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\pi_1 \circ \mu_1 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\pi_{1,C} \circ \mu_{1,C} = \mathrm{Id}$. Here, ρ and ρ_1 are the usual restriction-reduction maps, as in the proof of Theorem 2.8. The diagram yields the exact sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{Ker}\sigma \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\rho \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\rho_1 \to 1$$

which is in fact a sequence of commutative monoids, by §1.5. Finally, $\operatorname{Ker} K_0(f) \cong \operatorname{Ker}\rho$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{Pic}(f) \cong \operatorname{Ker}\rho_1$. $\square$

3.4. **Linear actions.** Here, $S = S(V)$ is the symmetric algebra of a finite dimensional k-vector space V and G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V) = \mathrm{Aut}_k(V)$. The G-action on V extends uniquely to an action of G on S by k-algebra automorphisms. Hypothesis (*) amounts to the requirement that $|G|^{-1} \in k$, which will be assumed, and linear actions are never Galois. Both assertions follow from the existence of an augmentation $\varepsilon : S \to k$ which is G-invariant (i.e., $\varepsilon(s^g) = \varepsilon(s)$ holds for all $s \in S$, $g \in G$); it is given by $\varepsilon(V) = \{0\}$.

3.4.1. *The factors S_H.* We now describe the factors $S_H = S/J(H)$ of S that were introduced in §2.6. Fix a subgroup H of G and let $V(H)$ denote the subspace of V that is generated by the elements $v - v^h$ ($v \in V, h \in H$). Then $H \subseteq G^T(\mathfrak{M})$ iff $V(H) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. Thus, $J(H)$ is the intersection of all $\mathfrak{M} \in \operatorname{Max} S$ with $V(H) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. Now $V(H)S$ is a prime ideal of S; in fact, $S/V(H)S \cong S(V_H)$, where $V_H = V/V(H)$ is the vector space of H-coinvariants of V. Moreover, since kH is semisimple, we have $V = V^H \oplus V(H)$. Therefore, $J(H) = V(H)S$ and

$$S_H \cong S(V_H) \cong S(V^H)\ .$$

The canonical map $S \twoheadrightarrow S_H$ is H-equivariantly split by $S_H \cong S(V^H) \hookrightarrow S$.

3.4.2. *Picard group* ([K]). Here, $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = 1$ and so $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Pic}(f) = \mathrm{Pic}(R)$. Also, $\mathrm{U}(S) = k^*$ and all $\mathrm{U}(S_H) = k^*$, and so the intersection in Proposition 3.3 reduces to the intersection of the kernels of the restriction maps $\mathrm{Hom}(G, k^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H, k^*)$ which is obviously trivial. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Pic}(R) = 1 \ .$$

3.4.3. *A problem of Kraft.* Recall that $\mathrm{Pic}(R)$ is an image of $\widetilde{K_0}(R)$ (cf. §3.2). It is an open question, raised by Kraft ([Kr], Problem 5.1), whether in fact $\widetilde{K_0}(R)$ is trivial or, equivalently, $\mathrm{Ker}\,K_0(f) = \{0\}$. A positive answer to Question 3.2 would easily entail this in characteristics > 0, and for fixed-point-free actions in characteristic 0. In the latter case, Kraft's problem has already been settled by a different method by Holland [Ho], at least for algebraically closed base fields k. The following Proposition gives a cohomological reformulation of Holland's result, and of a result of Gubeladze [Gu]. We put $S_+ = VS$ and, as usual, $\mathrm{GL}(S, S_+)$ denotes the kernel of the reduction map $\mathrm{GL}(S) \to \mathrm{GL}(S/S_+) = \mathrm{GL}(k)$, and similarly for $\mathrm{GL}_n(S, S_+)$.

Proposition. *Assume that k is algebraically closed of characteristic 0. If G acts fixed-point-freely on V then $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S, S_+))$ is trivial. If, in addition, G is abelian (and hence actually cyclic) then $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S, S_+))$ is trivial for all n.*

Proof. By fixed-point-freeness, $V(H) = V$ holds for all non-identity subgroups H of G, and hence $J(H) = S_+$. Thus, in the notation of Theorem 2.8, $\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho_G \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\rho_H$ and so $\mathrm{U}(H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S))) = \mathrm{Ker}\,\rho_G$. Finally, by [Se], Prop. 38 on p. 49, the split exact sequence of G-groups $1 \to \mathrm{GL}(S, S_+) \to \mathrm{GL}(S) \to \mathrm{GL}(S/S_+) = \mathrm{GL}(k) \to 1$ gives rise to an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \to H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S, S_+)) \longrightarrow H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)) \xrightarrow{\rho_G} H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S/S_+)) \to 1 \ .$$

Inasmuch as $\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho_G \cong \mathrm{Ker}\,K_0(f)$ is trivial, by [Ho], triviality of $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S, S_+))$ follows (and conversely).

If G is abelian then R is an affine normal semigroup algebra (cf. [H]), and hence all f.g. projectives over R are free, by Gubeladze's Theorem [Gu]. In other words, all maps $\mathbf{P}_n(f)$ have trivial kernel which in turn says that $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S, S_+))$ is trivial, exactly as above, using Proposition 2.6 instead of Theorem 2.8. ☐

3.5. **Multiplicative actions.** In this case, $S = kA$ is the group algebra of a f.g. free abelian group A and G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(A) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ acting on S by means of the unique extension of the natural $\mathrm{GL}(A)$-action on A. Again, hypothesis (*) is equivalent to $|G|^{-1} \in k$ and multiplicative actions are never Galois, because of the G-invariant augmentation $\varepsilon : S \to k$ given by $\varepsilon(A) = \{1\}$.

3.5.1. *The factors S_H.* Fix a subgroup H of G, let $[A, H]$ denote the subgroup of A that is generated by the elements $a^{-1}a^h$ ($a \in A, h \in H$), and let $\omega([A, H])S$ denote the ideal of S that is generated by the elements $a - 1$ with $a \in [A, H]$. So $\omega([A, H])S$ is the kernel of the canonical map of group algebras $S = kA \twoheadrightarrow kA_H$, where $A_H = A/[A,H]$ denotes the H-coinvariants of A. Clearly, $H \subseteq G^T(\mathfrak{M})$ iff $\omega([A,H])S \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. We claim that $\omega([A,H])S$ is a semiprime ideal of S. Since $|H|$ is nonzero in k, this will follow if we can show that the torsion group of A_H is annihilated by a power of $|H|$. To this end, write $(\,.\,)' = (\,.\,) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/|H|]$ and view A' as a module over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}'H$. Putting $e = |H|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H} h \in \mathbb{Z}'H$, an idempotent of $\mathbb{Z}'H$, we have $A \subseteq A' = (A')^e \oplus (A')^{1-e} = (A')^H \oplus [A', H]$. Thus $A'/[A',H]$ is torsion-free, and hence so is $A/(A \cap [A',H])$. Since every element of $[A',H]/[A,H]$ is annihilated by a power of $|H|$, our claim follows. We conclude that $J(H) = \omega([A,H])S$ and

$$S_H \cong kA_H \ .$$

The canonical map $S \twoheadrightarrow S_H$ is not split, in general, as S_H need not be a domain.

3.5.2. *Picard group* ([L]). Again, $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = 1$ and so $\mathrm{Pic}(R)$ can be determined from Proposition 3.3. Here, $\mathrm{U}(S) = k^* \times A$ and $\mathrm{U}(S_C) = k^* \times U_1$ with $A_C \subsetneq U_1$, the group of normalized (augmentation 1) units of $S_C = kA_C$ (a strict inclusion, in general). The maps $H^1(G, \mathrm{U}(S)) = \mathrm{Hom}(G, k^*) \times H^1(G, A) \to \mathrm{Hom}(C, \mathrm{U}(S_C)) = \mathrm{Hom}(C, k^*) \times \mathrm{Hom}(C, U_1)$ decompose as the direct product of the restriction maps $\mathrm{Hom}(G, k^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}(C, k^*)$ times the maps $H^1(G, A) \to H^1(C, A) \to H^1(C, A_C) = \mathrm{Hom}(C, A_C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(C, U_1)$. The first factor contributes nothing to the kernel, as for linear actions. Since the map $H^1(C, A) \to H^1(C, A_C)$ is mono for cyclic C (e.g., [B], p. 79), the contribution from the second factor is the kernel of the restriction map $H^1(G, A) \to H^1(C, A)$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Pic}(R) = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathrm{Res}^G_C : H^1(G, A) \to H^1(C, A)\right) \ .$$

This group need not be trivial; the results of a systematic computer aided investigation of all cases with $\mathrm{rank}\, A \leq 4$ are reported in [L].

3.6. **Moding out the radical.** Returning to the general situation where S is an arbitrary commutative ring satisfying (*), we briefly consider the reduction maps $p : \mathrm{GL}(S) \to \mathrm{GL}(S/\operatorname{rad} S)$ and $p_n : \mathrm{GL}_n(S) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\operatorname{rad} S)$. Here, $\operatorname{rad} S$ denotes the *Jacobson radical* of S. The following lemma is an application of Lemma 2.5.

Lemma. *The maps* $(p_n)^1_* : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S)) \to H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S/\operatorname{rad} S))$ *have trivial kernel, and so does* $p^1_* : H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S)) \to H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}(S/\operatorname{rad} S))$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the maps $(p_n)^1_*$; the case of p^1_* then follows by taking $\varinjlim$.

Using the identification $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}) \cong H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(S))$ of Lemma 2.5 and writing $\overline{S} = S/\operatorname{rad} S$ and $\overline{T} = \overline{S} * G$ (cf. 2.1), the map $(p_n)^1_*$ becomes the map $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n}) \to \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Res}^{\overline{T}}_{\overline{S},n})$ that is given by $\langle P \rangle \mapsto \langle \overline{P} = P/P \operatorname{rad} S \rangle$. Say $\langle P \rangle$ belongs to the kernel of this map, that is, $\overline{P} \cong \overline{S}^n_{\overline{T}}$ or, equivalently, $P/P \operatorname{rad} S \cong S^n_T / S^n_T \operatorname{rad} S$. Since $\operatorname{rad} S \subseteq \operatorname{rad} T$ (cf. [P], Theorem 7.2.5), the Nakayama Lemma implies that $P \cong S^n_T$

(cf. [Ba], Prop. (2.12) on p. 90). Thus $\langle P \rangle$ is the distinguished element of $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Res}^T_{S,n})$, as required. $\square$

References

[AG] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367–409.

[B] A. Babakhanian, *Cohomological Methods in Group Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.

[Ba] H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.

[Ba2] ———, *K-theory and stable algebra*, Publ. I.H.E.S. **22** (1964), 5–60.

[BS] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne*, Comm. Math. Helv. **64** (1964), 111–164.

[Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative, chap. 1 – 2*, Hermann, Paris, 1961.

[Bou2] ———, *Théorie des Ensembles*, Hermann, Paris, 1970.

[Bou3] ———, *Algèbre, chap. 1 – 3*, Hermann, Paris, 1970.

[Br] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[BrL1] K.A. Brown and M. Lorenz, *Grothendieck groups and higher class groups of commutative invariants*, Proc. of the II International Conference on Algebra in Honor of A.I. Shirshov, Contemporary Mathematics, **184** (1995), 59–74.

[BrL2] ———, *Grothendieck groups of invariant rings and of group rings*, J. Algebra **166** (1994), 423–454.

[CHR] S.U. Chase, D.K. Harrison, and A. Rosenberg, *Galois Theory and Cohomology of Commutative Rings*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., No. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1965.

[FL] W. Fulton and S. Lang, *Riemann-Roch Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[Gu] I. D. Gubeladze, *Anderson's conjecture and the maximal monoid class over which projective modules are free*, Math. USSR-Sb. **63** (1989), 165–180.

[H] M. Hochster, *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*, Ann. of Math. **96** (1972), 318–337.

[Ho] M. Holland, *K-theory of endomorphism rings and of rings of invariants*, J. Algebra **191** (1997), 668–685.

[K] M.-C. Kang, *Picard groups of some rings of invariants*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), 455–461.

[Kr] H. Kraft, *G-vector bundles and the linearization problem*, In: Canadian Mathematical Soc. Conference Proceedings, Vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1989, pp. 111–123.

[DMV] H. Kraft, P. Slodowy, and T.A. Springer (eds.), *Algebraic Transformation Groups and Invariant Theory*, DMV Seminar, Band 13, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1989.

[L] M. Lorenz, *Picard groups of multiplicative invariants*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), 389–399.

[P] D.S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, Wiley& Sons, New York, 1977.

[Ro] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[Se] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne (5ème éd.)*, Lect. Notes in Math. No. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[Se2] ———, *Local Fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

[SGA6] A. Grothendieck et al., *Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Lect. Notes in Math. No. 225, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1977.

[Sl] P. Slodowy, *Two notes on a finiteness problem in the representation theory of finite groups*, .

[W] C. Weibel, *Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory*, preliminary version of first three chapters, Rutgers University.

[We] A. Weil, *Remarks on the cohomology of groups*, Ann. of Math. **80** (1964), 149–157.